



Presentation overview

- Time-domain reciprocity theorems and their application
- Time-domain contour integral method formulation
- Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures
- Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure
- Conclusions

Outline









Time-domain analysis of a power-ground structure (1)

CONFIGURATION

RECIPROCITY



Domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$			
	Actual Field (A)	Testing Field (B)	
Field State	$\{E_3, H_1, H_2\}$	$\{E_3^B, H_1^B, H_2^B\}$	
Material State	$\{\kappa(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$	$\{\kappa(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$	
Source State	J_3	∂J_3^B	

TD-CIM



Time-domain analysis of a power-ground structure (2)

Time-Domain Contour Integral Method*

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\Omega} E_3(\boldsymbol{x},t) \overset{(t)}{*} \partial J_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) dl(\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\Omega} E_3(\boldsymbol{x},t) \overset{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \partial \boldsymbol{J}^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) dl(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\Omega} E_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) \overset{(t)}{*} J_3(\boldsymbol{x},t) dA(\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\Omega} E_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) \overset{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \partial \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x},t) dl(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$E_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) = -\mu_0 \partial_t \int_{\boldsymbol{x}^T \in \partial\Omega} G_\infty[r(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^T),t] \stackrel{(t)}{*} \partial J_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}^T|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) dl(\boldsymbol{x}^T)$$
$$\partial J_\kappa^B(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) = -\partial_\kappa \int_{\boldsymbol{x}^T \in \partial\Omega} G_\infty[r(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^T),t] \stackrel{(t)}{*} \partial J_3^B(\boldsymbol{x}^T|\boldsymbol{x}^S,t) dl(\boldsymbol{x}^T)$$

*M. Štumpf, "The time-domain contour-integral method – an approach to the analysis of double plane circuits," *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat.*, vol. 56, no. 2, April 2014.

TD-CIM

07 -







Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures (2)

RECEIVING SITUATION



$$\{\boldsymbol{E}^{s},\boldsymbol{H}^{s}\} \triangleq \{\boldsymbol{E}^{R}-\boldsymbol{E}^{i},\boldsymbol{H}^{R}-\boldsymbol{H}^{i}\}$$

- s = scattered field
- R = total field
- i = incident field

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures (3)

RECEIVING SITUATION - RECIPROCITY #1

Domain $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (\mathcal{D}^R \cup \partial \mathcal{D}^R)$			
	Scattered Field (s)	Testing Field (B)	
Field State	$\{oldsymbol{E}^s,oldsymbol{H}^s\}$	$\{oldsymbol{E}^B,oldsymbol{H}^B\}$	
Material State	$\{\epsilon_0,\mu_0\}\delta(t)$	$\{\epsilon_0, \mu_0\}\delta(t)$	
Source State	0	0	

• + causality (radiation) condition

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}^R} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^s(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^s(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^R(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}A(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$

TD Coupling



Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures (4)

RECEIVING SITUATION - RECIPROCITY #2

Domain $\mathcal{D}^R \subset \mathbb{R}^3$				
	Total Field (R)	Testing Field (B)		
Field State	$\{oldsymbol{E}^R,oldsymbol{H}^R\}$	$\{oldsymbol{E}^B,oldsymbol{H}^B\}$		
Material State	$\{\kappa(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$	$\{\kappa(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$		
Source State	0	$\boldsymbol{J}^B = j^B(t)\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^S)\boldsymbol{i}_3$		

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}^R} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^R(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^R(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^R(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{D}^R} \boldsymbol{J}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\cdot} \boldsymbol{E}^R(\boldsymbol{x},t) dV(\boldsymbol{x})$$

TD Coupling

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures (5)

RECEIVING SITUATION - RECIPROCITY #1 & #2

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{D}^R} \boldsymbol{J}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} \boldsymbol{E}^R(\boldsymbol{x},t) dV(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}^R} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^i(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{\nu}^R(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$

magnetic-wall boundary condition

$$[\boldsymbol{i}_3 \times \boldsymbol{\nu}^R(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0 \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \partial \Omega^R, t > 0$$

• thin-slab approximation with $\mathcal{V}({m x},t)=-dE_3({m x},t)$ leads to

$$\mathcal{V}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} j^{B}(t) \simeq -\int_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \partial \Omega^{R}} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \mathrm{d}l(\boldsymbol{x})$$
for all $\boldsymbol{x}^{S} \in \Omega^{R}, t > 0$

TD Coupling

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain mutual coupling between power-ground structures (6)

TRANSMITTING SITUATION - Huygens-Kirchhoff representations

$$oldsymbol{H}^i = oldsymbol{H}^{i; ext{NF}} + oldsymbol{H}^{i; ext{IF}} + oldsymbol{H}^{i; ext{FF}}$$

- FF = Far Field
- IF = Intermediate Field
- $\bullet \ \mathrm{NF} = \text{Near Field}$

• FF constituent, for example

$$\boldsymbol{H}^{i;\text{FF}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{R},t) = -\epsilon_{0} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\Omega^{T}} \frac{\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x},t-|\boldsymbol{x}^{R}-\boldsymbol{x}|/c_{0})}{4\pi |\boldsymbol{x}^{R}-\boldsymbol{x}|} \\ \left[\boldsymbol{\xi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{R}-\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}^{R}-\boldsymbol{x})-\mathbb{I}\right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}) dl(\boldsymbol{x})$$

TD Coupling

 $14 \cdot$





TVulliences



EM coupling: Numerical results (2)

OBSERVED PULSED RESPONSES



RIM-to-RIM relations (MATLAB[®])

• (48 + 52) line segments

3D-FIT (CST MWS[®])

• $\sim 620\,000$ hexahedral meshcells

Numerics





Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure (1)

PROBLEM CONFIGURATION



$$\{\boldsymbol{E}^{s},\boldsymbol{H}^{s}\} \triangleq \{\boldsymbol{E}^{R}-\boldsymbol{E}^{i},\boldsymbol{H}^{R}-\boldsymbol{H}^{i}\}$$

- s =scattered field
- R = total field
- i =incident field

M. Štumpf, "The pulsed EM plane-wave response of a thin planar antenna," Journal EM Waves Appl., vol. 30, no. 9, 2016.

TD Susceptibility

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure (2)

SUSCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS - RECIPROCITY #1

Domain $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (\mathcal{D} \cup \partial \mathcal{D})$			
	Scattered Field (s)	Testing Field (B)	
Field State	$\{oldsymbol{E}^s,oldsymbol{H}^s\}$	$\{oldsymbol{E}^B,oldsymbol{H}^B\}$	
Material State	$\{\epsilon_0,\mu_0\}\delta(t)$	$\{\epsilon_0,\mu_0\}\delta(t)$	
Source State	0	0	

• + causality (radiation) condition

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$

TD Susceptibility

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure (3)

SUSCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS - RECIPROCITY #1

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \int_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\partial\mathcal{D}} \left[\boldsymbol{E}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) - \boldsymbol{E}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \stackrel{*}{\times} \boldsymbol{H}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) dA(\boldsymbol{x})$$

• magnetic-wall boundary condition $[i_3 \times \boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^B(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \partial \Omega, t > 0$

• thin-slab approximation ($d \ll ct_{
m w}$)

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}'\in\partial\Omega} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}') \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \mathrm{d}l(\boldsymbol{x}') = \int_{\boldsymbol{x}'\in\partial\Omega} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}') \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \mathrm{d}l(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

TD Susceptibility



Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure (4)

SUSCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS - RECIPROCITY #2

Domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$			
	Total Field (R)	Testing Field (B)	
Field State	$\{oldsymbol{E}^R,oldsymbol{H}^R\}$	$\{oldsymbol{E}^B,oldsymbol{H}^B\}$	
Material State	$\{\kappa(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$	$\{\kappa^B(t),\mu_0\delta(t)\}$	
Source State	0	$\boldsymbol{J}^B = j^B(t)\delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^S)\boldsymbol{i}_3$	

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{x}'\in\partial\Omega} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}') \cdot \boldsymbol{H}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \mathrm{d}l(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

= $-\mathcal{V}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} j^{B}(t) + \partial_{t}\delta\kappa(t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} d^{-1} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}'\in\Omega} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \mathcal{V}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \mathrm{d}A(\boldsymbol{x}')$

TD Susceptibility

Brno University of Technology



Time-domain radiated susceptibility of a power-ground structure (5)

SUSCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS - RECIPROCITY #1 & #2

• CHOOSE (for simplicity): $\kappa(t) = \kappa^B(t)$, i.e. $\delta\kappa(t) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{V}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} j^{B}(t) = -\int_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in \partial\Omega} \mathcal{V}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) \stackrel{(t)}{*} \boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}') \boldsymbol{\cdot} \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{x}',t) \mathrm{d}l(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{R}(\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t) = -d c_{0}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \cdot \partial_{t} e^{i}(t) \overset{(t)}{*} \int_{\boldsymbol{x}' \in \partial\Omega} \overset{\star}{G}^{B}(\boldsymbol{x}'|\boldsymbol{x}^{S},t-\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}'/c_{0})\boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{x}') dl(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

*M. Štumpf, "Time-domain analysis of rectangular power-ground structures with relaxation," *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat.*, vol. 56, no. 5, October 2014.

TD Susceptibility



Brno University of Technology

BRNO UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

EM susceptibility: Numerical results (2)

OBSERVED PULSED RESPONSES



Numerics



Conclusions

- Pulsed EM mutual coupling between two P/G structures was described via the novel rim-to-rim closed-form time-domain expressions (efficient, easy-toimplement, explicit)
 - The constructed expressions can be used for optimizing pulsed signal transfer and coupling strength between P/G structures
- \bullet Pulsed EM plane-wave response of a P/G structure described analytically
 - The constructed expressions can be used to determine the vulnerability of a P/G structure to an external EM pulsed disturbance
- Huge savings of computational resources with respect to purely numerical approaches such as FDTD and FIT
- Physical insights into EM mutual-coupling/radiated-susceptibility phenomena

26

Conclusions



The end